Case 4:04-cv-40148-FDS Document 9 Filed 10/13/2004 Page 1 of 2

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS CENTRAL SECTION



MARK A. PETERSON,

Plaintiff

v.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF
TECHNOLOGY – LINCOLN LABORATORY

– and –

RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT and
TECHNICAL EMPLOYEES, UNION,
Defendants
)

UNION DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Defendant Research Development and Technical Employees Union ("RDTEU") moves for summary judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(b), on the grounds that there are no material disputes of fact and Defendant RDTEU is entitled to judgment as a matter of law. In support of its motion, Defendant relies upon:

- 1. Defendant Union's Local Rule 56.1 Statement;
- 2. Plaintiff's Complaint;
- 3. Affidavit of Myrna Solod, Clerk, Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination; and,

4. Defendant Union's Memorandum In Support of Summary Judgment.

RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT and TECHNICAL EMPLOYEES UNION

By its attorneys

E. David Wanger, Esq.

BBO# 515640

John F. McMahon, Esquire

BBO # 338360

ANGOFF, GOLDMAN, MANNING, WANGER & HYNES, P.C.

45 Bromfield Street – 8th Floor

Boston, MA 02108 (617) 723-5500

Dated: 10/12/04

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the above document was served upon the attorney(s) of record for each other party by mail/hand on 10/13/01

Date: 10/12/17

John F. McMahon, Esquire